ideadifying data deleted to Frevent clearly unwarrance invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security 20 Mass, Rm. A3042, 425 I Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20536



U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services





APR 15 2004

FILE:

Office: LOS ANGELES

Date:

IN RE:

Obligor:

Bonded Alier

IMMIGRATION BOND:

Bond Conditioned for Voluntary Departure under § 240B of the Immigration

and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1229c

ON BEHALF OF OBLIGOR: Self-represented

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

EliabethoBie Robert P. Wiemann, Director Administrative Appeals Office **DISCUSSION:** The voluntary departure bond in this matter was declared breached by the Field Office Director, Detention and Removal, Los Angeles, California, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The record indicates that on October 16, 1998, the obligor posted a \$500.00 bond conditioned for the alien's voluntary departure. An order of the immigration judge (IJ) dated October 13, 1998, was issued granting the alien voluntary departure in lieu of removal on or before December 14, 1998. The bonded alien appealed the IJ's decision to the Board of Immigration Appeals (BIA). On September 23, 2002, the BIA dismissed the alien's appeal and granted the alien voluntary departure within 30 days from the date of the order. The alien subsequently filed a motion to reopen. On January 28, 2003, the BIA denied the alien's motion to reopen. On May 27, 2003, the field office director concluded the bond had been breached. The alien has failed to depart.

The appeal has been filed by the bonded alien's attorney. The alien and the attorney are without standing in this proceeding.

An immigration bond is a contract between ICE and the obligor. The obligor or her attorney-in-fact is the proper party to appeal the ICE decision to breach the bond. *See Matter of Insurance Company of North America*, 17 I&N Dec. 251 (Act. Reg. Comm. 1978).

The regulations provide that an appeal filed by a person or entity not entitled to file it must be rejected as improperly filed. In such a case, any filing fee ICE has accepted will not be refunded. 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(v).

ORDER: The appeal is rejected.